PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

by fax and p st

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

To: **VOSSIUS & PARTNÉ** FEINGEGANGEN Siebertstrasse 4 Vosaius & Partner 81675 München - g Okt 2001 ALLEMAGNE

FAX: 43 30 4111

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

05.10.2001

Applicant's or agent's file reference D 2398 PCT

International application No. PCT/EP00/05922

International filing date (cay/month/year) 26/03/2000

Priority date (day/mon h/yeur) 25/06/1999

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant

٠. پ

UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and fumish such translation directly to each elected Office concerred.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

Authorized officer

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu il Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Guerin, A

Tel.+49 89 2399-8061

Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992)



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(POT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		
D 2398 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Prell	Notification of Transmittal of in ernational minary Examination Report (Fr m PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No.	International filing date ('asy/month/year)	Priority date (day/mon h/year)
PCT/EP00/05922	26/06/2000	25/06/1999
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nat C12N15/10	tional classification and IPC	
Applicant		
UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH et al.		
This international preliminary examination of the state of the st		
and is transmitted to the applicant ac	ration: report has been prepared by this scording to Article 36.	s International Preliminary Examining Author
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	7 sheats, including this cover sheet	
	· ·	
(see Rule 70.16 and Section 607	7 of the Administrative instructions und	ription, claims and/or draw. ngs which having rectifications made before this Authority ler the PCT).
These annexes consist of a total of	sheets.	
3. This report contains indications relation	ng to the following iten s:	
I ⊠ Basis of the report		
II Priority	·	
III 🛛 Non-establishment of opi	nion with regard to novelty, inventive s	ton and indicately a size in.
IV Lack of unity of invention	service and to the only, hiveliave s	tep and industrial applical lifty
V 🛛 Reasoned statement und		inventive step or industria applicability;
VI Certain documents cited	s day or using oddin state fresh	
VII Certain defects in the inte		
VIII Certain observations on ti	he international application	•
	пристанти пристанти	
		•
ite of submission of the demand		
are or application of the detustion	Date of completion	n of this report
3/01/2001	05.10.2001	
me and mailing address of the international eliminary examining authority:	Authorized officer	AND SECOND POLYCLE
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 ep	Lanzrein, M	
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Telephone No. +49	90 0000 7000
PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (January 1994)		00 2388 /358

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. FCT/EP00/05922

	•							
I.	. Basis of the report							
-1	and are not ann	With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): Description, pages:						
	1-38	as originally filed						
	Claims, No.:			. •				
	1-22	as originally filed						
		*						
	Drawings, shee	ets:						
	1/11-11/11	as originally filed						
	Sequence listin	g part of the description, pages	:					
	1-9, filed with the	letter of 02.10.2000		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
2.	With regard to the language in which	e language, all the elements mar h the international application was	ked soove were avallable or furnished s filec , unless otherwise indicated unde	to this Authority in the				
			Authority in the following language:	,				
٠.	☐ the language	e of a translation furnished for the	purposes of the International search (unde Buie 22 1/6/				
	□ the language	e of publication of the internationa	l app ication (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
	the language 55.2 and/or	of a translation furnished for the	purposes of international preliminary e	exam nation (under Rul				
3.	With regard to an international preli	y nucleotide and/or a nino acid minary examination was carried o	sequence disclosed in the internation out or the basis of the sequence listing	al application, the				
	☐ contained in	the international application in wri	ttën torm.					

The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in

The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.

the international application as filed has been furnished.

listing has been furnished.

 \boxtimes

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. FCT/EP00/05922

		the description,	pages:					
		the claims,	Nos.:			•		
		the drawings,	sheets:					
5.		This report has beer considered to go be	n established as i' (so yond the disclosure a	ome of) the s filed (F	ne amendm e Jule 70.2(c))	ents had not l :	been made, si 1	ce they have bee
		(Any replacement st	neet containing such .	amendm	ents must b	e referred to	under item 1 ¿ r	nd annexed to this
		,				· .		
6	Δdc	ditional observations,	if necessary	ž.				
٥.	Auc	illional observations,	ii Hecessary.					
		•		*			-	
Ш.	Nor	n-establishment of o	pinion with regard t	o novelt	y, inventive	step and in	dustrial applic	ability
		Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-						
	obv	ious), or to be industr	ially applicable have	not been	examined in	n respect of:		(10 00 11011-
		the entire internation	al application.			• .		•
	×	claims Nos. 8, 10-13	, 15-22 (all particity).					
		•	•					
be	caus	Be:		• •				
		the said international not require an interna	application, o the sational preliminary ex	aid claims	Nos. relat	e to the follow	wing subject nie	atter which does
		•					:	
		the description, claim	s or drawings (<i>indica</i> pinion could be forme	ate partic	ılar elemen	ts below) or s	said claims Nos	. εre so unclear
		that no meaningtal of	Sunou códia pu tatille	iu <i>"specii</i>	<i>y)</i> .			
		•	. •		•			
		the claims, or said cla could be formed.	aims Nos. are so ina	dequatel	v supported	by the descr	iption that no π	neaningful opinior
	×	no international searc	ch report has heen es	stablishe	for the said	d claims Nos	. 8, 10-13, 15 2	2 (all partially).
2.	A m	eaningful Internationa	l preliminary examina	ation can	not be carrie	ed out due to	the failure of th	Po ruplostido
	and/	or amino acid sequent ructions:	ce listing to comply v	vitin the s	tandard pro	vided for in A	nnex C of the	Administrative
		the written form has r	ot been furnished or	does no	comply with	h the standa	rd.	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		the computer readabl						
				. •			· ····································	
J.	Ra	soned statement und	der Article 35(2) with	n regard	to novelty	inventive e	ten or industal	al applicables
	citat	i ns and explanation	ns supporting such	stateme	nt	tpiillive 3	ob or migrature	aı applicability;

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. FCT/EP00/05922

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Clairns 1-22

No:

Claims

inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Chairns

No:

Clairns 1-22

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: No:

s: Claims 1-22 : Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY nternational application No. PCT/EP00/05922 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: O'SHEA E K ET AL: 'PEPTIDE 'VELCRO': DESIGN OF A HETEFODIMERIC COILED COIL' CURRENT FIOLOGY, GB CURRENT SCIENCE,, vol. 3, no. 10, 1993, pages 658-667, ISSN: 0960-9822 cited in the application
- D2: YU Y ET AL: 'INVESTIGATION OF ELECTROSTATIC INTERACTIONS IN TWO-STRANDED COILED-COILS THROUGH RESIDUE SHUFFLING' BIC PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 59, 16 April 1996 (1996-04-16), pages 299-314, cited in the application
- D3: HODGES R S: 'DE NOVO DESIGN OF ALPHA-HELICAL PROTEINS: BASIC RESEARCH TO MEDICALAPPLICATION S' BIOCHEMISTRY AND CELL BIOLOGY. BIOCHIMIE ET BIOLOGIE CELLULAIRE,XX,XX, vol. 74, no. 2, 1996, pages 133-154, ISSN: 0829-8211 citec in the application
- D4: ARNDT K M ET AL: 'In-vivo selection of interacting peptide libraries by selectively-infective phages.' FASEB JOURNAL, vol. 11, no. 9, 1997, page 7.1327 17th International Congress of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology in conjunct on with the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology;San Francisco, California, USA; August 24-23, 1997 ISSN: 0892-6638
- D5: WO 98 34120 A (PELLITTER JOELLE NINA ;REMY INGRID (CA); UNIV MONTREAL (CA); MICHN) 6 August 19:38 (1998-08-06) cited in the application

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Parts of claim 8 and appendent claims 10-13, 15-22 have not been searched. The non-searched subject-matter is not subject to the preliminary examination as set forth under Rule 66.1 (e) PCT.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/E:P00/05922 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEFARATE SHEET

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. The current application concerns a method for selecting hetero associating polypeptides. Two peptide libraries are simultaneously expressed in a cell and the hetero-association is selected by reconstitution of the DHFR enzyme. The design of the peptide libraries is based on coiled-coil forming domains of the los/jun/gcn4 leucine-zipper.
 - Claims cover the method for identification of peptides as well as the peptides themselves. The specific peptides claimed (e.g. present claims 5, 6) comprise amino acid variations at the e and g positions of the coiled-coil forming heptac motifs.
- 2. Claims 1-22 appear to be novel over the cited prior art.
- 3. Claims 1-22 lack inventive step within the meaning of Art. 33 (3) PCT.
- 3.1 Claims 5, 6, 8-22 essentially concern polypeptides capable of hetero-associating. The polypeptide sequences recited in said claims are novel.

However, other hetero-associating peptide pairs have been disclosed in the prior art (D1-D3). For example D1 shows two peptides designed based on studie; of fos, jun and gcn4 leucine zippers. Said peptides preferentially form a stable, helical heterodimer (p. 660, right-hand column, 1. paragraph; Fig. 3). D3 and D4 disclose other peptide sequences with similar properties.

In order for the peptides of the present application to be inventive, they must satisfy the criteria of a selection invention. This means the peptides must be distinguished from the known ones by a special technical effect. If there is no such special technical effect or feature which distinguishes the present peptides, the contribution of the application lies only in an arbitrary selection from a large number of possible peptides.

The availability of many sequences in the prior art (D1-D3) in fact shows that the skilled person would find other possible sequences derivable from the jun/fos leucine

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/E:P00/05922 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEFARATE SHEET

zipper or any other known coiled-coil forming pair without exercise of in ventive skill. In other words, there is a large number of peptides derivable from jun/fos, illustrated by the fact that this approach was used successfully several times (D1-D4). Therefore, for new peptides obtained by said approach, a special technical effect must be evident in order for inventive step to be acknowledged.

3.2 Claims 1-4, 7 concern a method for identification of hetero-associating polypeptides by combining two peptide libraries and selecting for a property caused by the hetero-association.

D4, which is considered the closest prior art document discloses a method for in vivo screening of interacting peptide libraries. Potential coiled-coil forming peptides were randomized at the e and g-positions of the heptad. The two libraries were fused to the N- or C-terminal half of the Genelli bacteriophage protein, which provided a selection-marker for heterodimerization.

Thus, the differences between present claims 1-3, 7 and D4 are the specific peptides recited in said claims.

However, as set forth above, said peptides are not considered inventive and therefore cannot establish inventive step of the method which is known as such.

Claim 4 concerns another selection method using DHFR enzyme reconstitution. However, this feature is known from D5 and thus cannot establish inventive step.